

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,982	06/21/2005	James T. Kadonaga	1034123-000150	1391	
	7590 06/12/2007 INGERSOLL & ROON	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1404			STRZELECK	STRZELECKA, TERESA E	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,982	KADONAGA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Teresa E. Strzelecka	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
Period for Reply	/ 10 055 TO 5 VOID - 110 VI					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	<u>, </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	f.	·				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	nary (PTO-413) il Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inform					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/516,982

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-21 and 30, drawn to a method for promoting homologous recombination, the method comprising providing a nucleosomal polynucleotide comprising histones and contacting, under conditions that support homologous recombination, the polynucleotide with a target nucleic acid sequence, wherein the target nucleic acid comprises a nucleotide sequence homologous to the nucleosomal polynucleotide.

Group II, claim(s) 22-29, drawn to a method of ameliorating disease caused by a disease allele, the method comprising:

- a) providing a nucleosomal polynucleotide comprising histones and a nucleic acid sequence that corrects a genetic mutation associated with a disease allele; and
- b) contacting, under conditions that support homologous recombination, the polynucleotide of a) with a target nucleic acid sequence associated with the disease allele, wherein the target nucleic acid comprises a nucleotide sequence homologous to the nucleosomal polynucleotide.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Kanaar et al. teach homologous recombination repair in eukaryotic cells (Fig. 1; page 483, 484). Since these cells contain histones associated with the polynucleotides, Kanaar et al. inherently anticipates claim 1. Therefore, the claims do not represent a contribution over prior art, and thus lack unity.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/516,982

Art Unit: 1637

Group I

Species of recombinase

- A) recombinase comprises Rad51 associated activity (claim 3),
- B) recombinase comprises Rad54 associated activity (claim 4),
- C) recombinase is a recombinosome (claim 7).

Species of recombinase origin

- D) recombinase is exogeneously produced (claim 5),
- E) recombinase is endogeneously produced (claim 6).

Species of contacting

- F) contacting is in vitro (claim 8),
- G) contacting is in vivo (claim 9).

Species of the origin of the target sequence

- H) target sequence is an exogenous sequence (claim 10),
- I) target sequence is an endogenous sequence (claim 11).

Species of the type of target sequence

- J) target sequence is a chromosomal sequence (claim12),
- K) target sequence is a coding sequence (claim13),
- L) target sequence is a promoter sequence (claim 14, in part; claim 15, in part),
- M) target sequence is an enhancer sequence (claim 14, in part; claim15, in part),
- N) target sequence is a silencer sequence (claim 14, in part; claim15, in part),
- O) target sequence is an origin of replication (claim 14, in part; claim15, in part),
- P) target sequence is a splicing signal sequence (claim 14, in part; claim 15, in part).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,982 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

Species of the nucleosomal polynucleotide

Q) nucleosomal polynucleotide comprises a nucleic acid sequence that corrects a genetic mutation associated with a disease allele (claim 18),

- R) nucleosomal polynucleotide comprises a nucleic acid sequence that generates a genetic mutation in a targeted sequence (claim 19),
- S) nucleosomal polynucleotide comprises a nucleic acid sequence that generates a genetic mutation in a targeted sequence and the mutation alters the expression of one or more genes in a targeted nucleic acid sequence (claim 19, 20).
- 4. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from each of the sets of species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.
- 6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the recombinases have different structures and function; the target nucleic acids have different sequences and functions; the polynucleotides introduce different changes into the target, therefore they function differently.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E. Strzelecka whose telephone number is (571) 272-0789. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,982

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Teresa E Strzelecka Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

> Tenso Streledio 617107

Page 6